


Vibrational Characterization of Simple Peptides Using Cryogenic Infrared Photodissociation of H₂-Tagged, Mass-Selected Ions


Michael Z. Kamrath,[†] Etienne Garand,[†] Peter A. Jordan,[†] Christopher M. Leavitt,[†] Arron B. Wolk,[†] Michael J. Van Stipdonk,[‡] Scott J. Miller,[†] and Mark A. Johnson^{*,†}

[†]Sterling Chemistry Laboratory, Yale University, P.O. Box 208107, New Haven, Connecticut 06520, United States

[‡]Department of Chemistry, Wichita State University, 1845 Fairmont Avenue, Wichita, Kansas 67260, United States

 Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: We present infrared photodissociation spectra of two protonated peptides that are cooled in a ~ 10 K quadrupole ion trap and “tagged” with weakly bound H₂ molecules. Spectra are recorded over the range of 600–4300 cm⁻¹ using a table-top laser source, and are shown to result from one-photon absorption events. This arrangement is demonstrated to recover sharp ($\Delta\nu \sim 6$ cm⁻¹) transitions throughout the fingerprint region, despite the very high density of vibrational states in this energy range. The fundamentals associated with all of the signature N–H and C=O stretching bands are completely resolved. To address the site-specificity of the C=O stretches near 1800 cm⁻¹, we incorporated one ¹³C into the tripeptide. The labeling affects only one line in the complex spectrum, indicating that each C=O oscillator contributes a single distinct band, effectively “reporting” its local chemical environment. For both peptides, analysis of the resulting band patterns indicates that only one isomeric form is generated upon cooling the ions initially at room temperature into the H₂ tagging regime.



1. INTRODUCTION

There has been an explosion of work over the past decade^{1–12} exploiting the power of vibrational spectroscopy to elucidate structural features of ionic biopolymers efficiently interfaced with mass spectrometers using atmospheric ionization schemes such as electrospray ionization (ESI). In most cases,^{1–5} the ions are interrogated under ambient temperature conditions and, because they are typically covalently bound systems (i.e., with the lowest bond energy much higher than the IR photon energy), their action spectra are obtained by multiple-photon dissociation using the high intensities available with free-electron lasers.^{13–15} The inherent nonlinearity and limited spectral range (typically only using 500–2000 cm⁻¹ of the much larger range available with different configurations)¹⁶ of that approach, however, complicates direct comparison with *ab initio* calculations which is almost universally relied upon to deduce structural information from the spectral data.⁵ In addition, because most systems of interest are floppy, chain-like molecules with a large number of low frequency modes, warm molecules necessarily introduce hot bands or even structural rearrangements with different spectral signatures.¹⁷ Whatever the specific cause, rather diffuse infrared multiple photon dissociation (IRMPD) bands are typically observed such that calculated spectra must be broadened (typically to ~ 30 cm⁻¹ full width at half-maximum) to facilitate comparison with observed IRMPD spectra.

Cryogenic ion traps provide an obvious solution to the internal energy problem, where low temperatures are routinely obtained for polypeptides that have been characterized using

powerful IR/UV double resonance schemes.^{18–23} In these cases, a UV chromophore is used to carry out selective dissociation of cold complexes so that IR absorptions are recorded as population dips in the UV-induced action signal. Here, we generalize the application of vibrational spectroscopy to charged peptides using “messenger” spectroscopy, a photoinduced mass loss scheme that has recently been extended to ESI using cryogenic ion traps.^{24–26} These previous reports involved attachment of Kr or He to ions of interest in a cold trap, and we extend the technique to include H₂ attachment in the ~ 10 K regime. This is accomplished using a modified radio frequency quadrupole ion trap similar to the approach introduced by Wang and Wang.^{27,28} Predissociation of one or more H₂ molecules allows detection of vibrational excitation in a one-photon action regime without the need for a UV chromophore, and necessarily interrogates the system of interest at low temperature due to the low binding energy of the tag. In this paper, we illustrate the technique on a model protonated GlyGly dipeptide (Peptide I) as well as a synthetic tripeptide (Peptide II), shown in the insets of Figure 1a and 1c, respectively. The tripeptide was recently highlighted in the context of its catalytic activity for stereoselective bromination of biaryl compounds.²⁹ In the course of this work, we establish key features of the underlying photophysics required to obtain linear action spectra such that they can be readily compared with theoretical predictions. The resulting pattern of sharp transitions

Received: February 4, 2011

Published: March 30, 2011




Figure 1. Mass spectra demonstrating H_2 condensation onto Peptides I and II. The top panels (traces a and c) display mass spectra obtained at 300 K, while the bottom panels (traces b and d) show the addition of H_2 to the parent ion when the trap temperature is lowered to 10 K. The 1.1% natural abundance of ^{13}C is evident in the spectra and indicated by the asterisks.

recovered with this methodology over a broad spectral range (600–4300 cm^{-1} using table-top laser technology) provides a critical test for theoretical predictions of the spectra. This new information emphasizes the importance of strong, band-selective anharmonicities that are not included in typical harmonic analyses applied to this class of molecular ions. We trace the activity in the signature $\text{C}=\text{O}$ bands to particular oscillators embedded in the structure of the tripeptide using site-selective incorporation of ^{13}C , establishing the intrinsic breadth and local nature of the transitions.

2. EXPERIMENTAL AND COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

2.1. Cryogenic Ion Photofragmentation Spectrometer.

The measurements were carried out in the modified tandem time-of-flight photofragmentation spectrometer at Yale, which was fitted with an ESI ion source and cryogenic ion trap as outlined previously.³⁰ A millimolar solution containing the peptide of interest was electrosprayed from the tip (15 μm) of a fused-silica needle using a flow rate of 0.03 mL/h. Peptide I was dissolved in an equal mixture (by volume) of methanol and water, while the solution for Peptide II consisted of 95% acetonitrile and 5% (by volume) 0.3 M aqueous formic acid. The ions were then guided through four differentially pumped stages using two radio frequency (RF)-only quadrupoles and an RF octopole using the circuit recommended by O'Connor et al.^{31,32} They were then turned 90° with a DC quadrupole before an RF octopole and an einzel lens directed them into the quadrupole trap (Jordan TOF Products, Inc.). The trap was attached to the second stage of a closed-cycle helium cryostat and electrically insulated with a sapphire plate. The overall trap design was based on that reported in 2008 by Wang and Wang.²⁷ The temperature of the trap assembly was stabilized at about 10 K by a 100 W resistive heater.

Most importantly, the trapping gas consisted of a mixture of 20% H_2 in a balance of He at a stagnation pressure of 1.5 bar, which was carefully introduced through a cryogenic pulsed valve (Parker Hannifin series 99) located just outside the low temperature heat shield and held at about

50 K. A short burst of gas (~ 1 ms) was introduced in the cell, and the ions were accumulated in the trap for approximately 50 ms, during which time the ions were cooled by collisions with the buffer gas and the H_2 adducts formed.³⁰ The H_2 -tagged ions were then extracted from the trap by applying voltages of opposite polarity to the entrance and exit lenses, which directed them to the extraction region of the first Wiley-McClaren TOF stage (2 m drift length to the first transient focus).

The mass-selected ion packets were photoexcited in the 600–4300 cm^{-1} photon energy range using the output of a pulsed (7 ns, 10 Hz) Nd:YAG pumped OPO-OPA laser (LaserVision) which was interfaced to the vacuum chamber through a KBr window. The lower frequency range (600–2500 cm^{-1}) was generated using an additional mixing stage in which the 3 and 1.5 μm beams from the primary LaserVision system were mixed in a AgGaSe₂ crystal. The IR action spectra were constructed by monitoring the intensity of a particular photofragment (resulting from H_2 evaporation) as a function of the photon energy, and presented after normalization for the fluctuation of the laser pulse energy over the course of the scan. The bandwidth of the laser is about 2.5 cm^{-1} in the higher energy region (2500–4400 cm^{-1}), and increases to about 6 cm^{-1} in the lower energy range. This increase is an intrinsic property of the nonlinear mixing required to generate the longer wavelengths.

2.2. Synthetic Protocols. Peptide I (Figure 1a) was prepared by coupling glycine (Sigma-Aldrich Chemical, St. Louis, MO) using a resin-bound carbodiimide [PS-Carbodiimide, Argonaut, Foster City, CA] in dichloromethane. The resin was removed by vacuum filtration and the solvent removed under reduced pressure to furnish the dipeptide, which was used without further purification.

Peptide II (Figure 1c) and its ^{13}C -labeled derivative were synthesized using standard solution phase peptide coupling chemistry as described by Gustafson and co-workers (see Figure S1 for details).²⁹ It was then isolated in high purity by silica gel chromatography (1–10% methanol in dichloromethane) followed by reverse phase chromatography utilizing a Biotage SP4 instrument (KP-C18-HS 30 g SNAP Cartridge, 10–80% acetonitrile in water, 30 mL/min over 18 column volumes).

2.3. Computational Details. Calculations were carried out using the Gaussian 09 package of programs.³³ Geometry optimizations for GlyGlyH⁺ recovered eight locally stable minima of the bare ion (confirmed by harmonic frequency calculations) at the MP2/6-311+G(d,p) level of theory. Minima were also obtained for the adducts of the lowest energy dipeptide structure with one and two H₂ molecules. These complexes were optimized using a basis set including more diffuse functions (MP2/6-311++G(d,p)) to better account for the weak interaction between the protonated peptide and the H₂ tag. The size of the tripeptide prohibited the use of the more computationally expensive MP2 method. Consequently, geometry optimizations and vibrational frequency calculations on it were carried out using the B3LYP functional and the 6-311++G(d,p) basis set. The calculated harmonic frequencies for Peptide II were scaled by 0.986 to bring the highest energy C=O into agreement with the experimental spectrum. For Peptide I, anharmonic corrections of 0.980 and 0.943, respectively, were applied to the 600–2000 cm⁻¹ and 2600–4200 cm⁻¹ regions to bring the C=O and O–H stretches into agreement with their observed positions.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Preparation of the H₂-Tagged Complexes. The condensation of H₂ molecules onto the two peptides using the 10 K trap is illustrated in Figure 1. For each species, the top panels (traces a and c) display the mass spectra obtained when the temperature of the trap is held at 300 K. In both cases, only the bare protonated peptides are observed, where the smaller peaks (marked with an asterisk) to the right of the dominant parent signal are consistent with the incorporation of ¹³C in its natural abundance (1.1%). The mass spectra shown in the bottom panels (traces b and d) exhibit a new progression of peaks originating with the parent ion and displaced from it by two mass units, which only appear when the trap temperature is lowered to ~10 K. This indicates that, within the 50 ms trapping period, the peptides are sufficiently cooled via collisions with the buffer gas to a temperature where H₂ condenses and conditions allow the newly formed species to survive extraction from the trap. We denote the adducts by *n*, the number of H₂ molecules attached to the peptide. It should be noted that the cooling of Peptide II from 300 to 10 K represents an energy transfer of about 1 eV, thus providing a benchmark for the cooling efficiency of the pulsed buffer gas approach.

The intensity of H₂-tagged peptide peaks, as well as the shape of the H₂ distribution, is highly dependent on amplitude of the gas pulse, trap extraction delay, and trapping voltages as discussed in our first report detailing the application of the instrument to the anions of dodecanedioic acid.³⁰ In the present case, we found that it was difficult to attach more than four H₂ molecules to Peptide II, while six were readily attached to Peptide I. This suggests that the H₂ binding energy is larger for Peptide I. As discussed previously,³⁰ the binding sites of the H₂ are localized around the excess charge center where the electrostatic potential is largest. For the cationic peptides, the charge-bearing groups are the protonated amines (based on solution basicities).^{34–36} The difference in H₂ binding energy between these two peptides can thus be rationalized by the fact that the excess charge on Peptide I is associated with a primary amine while it is on a tertiary amine on Peptide II, which does not offer attachment at a dangling N–H bond (see discussion of structures in Section 3.3).

3.2. Survey of the Fragmentation Photophysics. Because H₂ tagging of protonated peptides at low temperatures promises




Figure 2. Plot displaying the average H₂ loss from Peptides I, *n* = 3 (squares) and II, *n* = 4 clusters (circles) at several photon energies. The inverse slope of the fit lines yield effective H₂ binding energies of 490 cm⁻¹ and 370 cm⁻¹ for Peptides I and II, respectively.

to yield linear absorption spectra that can be directly compared with theoretical calculations, we carried out a series of studies to survey the photophysics of H₂ ejection. The key issue here is that to be most useful, the H₂-tagged species must undergo photofragmentation in a linear action regime, which depends on the H₂ binding energy as well as the kinetics of unimolecular decomposition.

To estimate the H₂ binding energy, the photofragment distributions were monitored as a function of photon energy for a series of transitions in the range of 867–1355 cm⁻¹, with the raw data for Peptide I (*n* = 3) [GlyGlyH⁺·(H₂)₃] presented in Figure S2. Photofragmentation of weakly bound complexes often occurs in a statistical, unimolecular dissociation regime as evidenced by a linear dependence of the average number of ejected ligands on excitation energy.^{37–39} Figure 2 presents a plot of the average number of H₂ molecules lost from Peptide I (*n* = 3) as a function of photon energy, which indeed displays the expected linear dependence. The inverse slope yields an effective binding energy per H₂ molecule of ~490 cm⁻¹. Note that this value is considerably larger than the heat of evaporation of liquid H₂ at 20 K (75 cm⁻¹),⁴⁰ reflecting the much stronger intermolecular forces attaching the H₂ to the ion. Interestingly, the 490 cm⁻¹ value is quite similar to that found for Ar binding to a variety of ions produced in supersonic jet expansions, which is widely used for tagging.^{41–43}

An analogous study was carried out for the fragmentation behavior of Peptide II (*n* = 4) with the average H₂ loss at several photon energies also included in Figure 2 (see Figure S3 for raw data). A smaller effective H₂ binding energy of 370 cm⁻¹ was recovered for this species, which is consistent with the H₂ tagging patterns observed in the mass spectra shown in Figure 1. We emphasize that this lower value for the larger system is extremely useful because it implies that action spectra can be obtained over the complete scanning range of the table-top laser system (600–4300 cm⁻¹) with minimal complications from slow evaporation as the photon energy approaches the dissociation energy.^{39,44–47} Note also that, for Peptide I, the fragmentation distributions smoothly evolved from loss of 3 to 1 H₂ molecule,




Figure 3. Trace a displays the calculated harmonic spectrum (MP2/6-311++G(d,p)) of Peptide I with the structure shown in the inset. Anharmonic scaling corrections of 0.980 and 0.943 were applied to bring the calculated C=O and O–H stretches into agreement with the experimental spectrum across the 600–2000 cm^{-1} and 2600–4200 cm^{-1} regions, respectively. The vibrational predissociation spectrum of Peptide I ($n = 2$) (b) was obtained by monitoring the loss of both H_2 molecules over the entire range. The gray overlay in trace (b) is the previously reported³⁵ IRMPD spectrum of the bare ion at 300 K. *IHB* denotes the band tentatively assigned to the intramolecular hydrogen bond. The arrow labeled $\nu_{\text{H}_2}^{\text{free}}$ indicates the energy of the vibrational quantum in isolated H_2 .

indicating that all three are bound with comparable association energies. This behavior rules out a situation where the first H_2 might, for example, be significantly more strongly complexed closest to the excess charge site.

Having gauged the H_2 binding energetics, a laser fluence study was then conducted to verify that the H_2 evaporation events are indeed induced by the absorption of a single photon. The predissociation yield as a function of the laser power is shown in Figure S4 for the 3345 cm^{-1} excitation of Peptide II ($n = 2$). The fluence dependence of the predissociation yield (relative to the parent ion signal) was found to be well described by an exponential depletion curve expected for saturation of a one-photon absorption process. As a result, the action spectra presented here were acquired with laser fluence held in the linear regime. Because the noise in the data is largest in the critical low power region of the fluence dependence, linearity was established by minimizing the appearance of photofragments arising from two-photon absorption which are evident in the larger adducts.

3.3. Survey of Predissociation Spectra. **3.3.1. Protonated Dipeptide (I) with $n = 1-4$.** Having demonstrated the single-photon nature of the H_2 photoproduct, we now turn our attention to the IR action spectra of these species, starting with the results for the protonated dipeptide (I). The $n = 2$ spectrum is presented in the bottom panel of Figure 3 (see Figure S5 for full 600–4300 cm^{-1} range), which was acquired by monitoring the appearance of the bare protonated peptide as a result of the photoinduced evaporative loss of 2 hydrogen molecules. Qualitatively, the spectrum exhibits sharp peaks throughout the entire photon energy range, many of which occur with linewidths close to the laser bandwidth in each region as discussed in the Experimental and Computational Details

section ($\leq 6 \text{ cm}^{-1}$). Note that the weak H_2 stretch is evidently highest in energy at 4120 cm^{-1} , which falls only about 39 cm^{-1} below the value of isolated H_2 molecule.⁴⁸ The small shift is consistent with attachment via a weak electrostatic interaction in an arrangement where the perturbation induces a small transition moment in this nominally forbidden transition.³⁰ We will discuss the H_2 binding sites in greater detail below. The IRMPD spectrum of the bare (I) ion at 300 K has been reported previously³⁵ in the energy range 1000–1900 cm^{-1} , and is included by the gray overlay in Figure 3b. The two spectra exhibit similar features, indicating minor overall perturbation by the H_2 tag, while the narrower linewidths in the present study reveal numerous discrete peaks underlying the broad envelopes in the IRMPD spectrum.

The observation of well-resolved transitions in the tagged spectrum of Peptide I raises the related issues of the band assignments to vibrational modes as well as the possible contribution from more than one isomer. Wu and McMahon³⁵ also carried out a computational study at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level and recovered the structure reproduced in Figure 3a as the global minimum. Note that this structure features an internal H-bond between one H atom on the N-terminus ammonium group and the nearby carbonyl, resulting in two equivalent free N–H oscillators associated with the $-\text{NH}_2$ moiety. Although they explored single point energies at the more accurate MP2-(full)/6-311++G(2d,2p) level, harmonic spectra were reported only at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level. We therefore independently performed calculations at the MP2/6-311++G(d,p) level to identify local minima and harmonic spectra. This procedure recovered the same minimum energy isomer, and its (scaled) MP2 harmonic spectrum is included in Figure 3a. In this case, the




Figure 4. Evolution of the N–H and O–H stretching bands in Peptide I with increasing numbers of H₂ adducts for $n = 0$ – 4 in (panels a–d, respectively). Traces a–c include calculated harmonic stick spectra (MP2/6-311++G(d,p), scaled by 0.943) for Peptide I. For the $n = 1$ and 2 complexes, the harmonic stick spectra are overlaid on the vibrational predissociation spectra, with the corresponding optimized structures shown in the inset to illustrate the perpendicular attachment motif of H₂ onto the $-\text{NH}_3^+$ charge center.

structures and spectra were not significantly dependent on the method of calculation.

Most Peptide I transitions can indeed be attributed to the fundamentals expected for the minimum energy structure. The bands above 3000 cm⁻¹ are readily assigned to the N–H and O–H groups, with the peak highest in energy at 3566 cm⁻¹ associated with the O–H stretch of the carboxylic acid group. Below this lie the symmetric and asymmetric stretches of the two dangling N–H oscillators on the $-\text{NH}_3^+$ functionality, which occur at 3309 and 3359 cm⁻¹, respectively. The calculations predict, however, that the embedded amide N–H stretch falls quite close to the asymmetric $-\text{NH}_2$ stretch with similar intensity, and the peak in this region is significantly more intense in the experimental spectrum than the lower energy companion. This raises the question of whether the enhanced intensity of the 3359 cm⁻¹ peak might be the result of accidental coincidence.

To address the possible role of overlapping bands, we first undertook a computational study of the expected solvent-dependence of the various vibrational modes, which requires determination of the likely binding sites for the H₂ molecules. In general, the stronger binding of the H₂ molecule to Peptide I (relative to typical van der Waals interactions)^{49,50} indicates that attachment occurs close to the excess charge center and thus to the $-\text{NH}_3^+$ group. We noted above that one of these three H-atoms is already strongly complexed to the C=O group, thus

leaving only two free N–H groups for complexation to the ligand. We carried out a survey (MP2/6-311++G(d,p) level) to identify likely binding motifs, and recovered low energy minima in which the first two H₂ molecules indeed attach to the two free N–H groups with their intermolecular axes roughly perpendicular to the N–H bond. The structural motifs are indicated by the insets in Figure 4b,c. This perpendicular attachment motif is common in other cationic systems with free N–H or O–H groups.^{51–53} Interestingly, in this arrangement, the weak transition moment for infrared excitation of the nominal H–H stretching mode is calculated to lie almost perpendicular to the H₂ bond. Such a vector relationship indicates that the oscillator strength arises from a modulation of the small charge-transfer contribution to the intermolecular bond.

The isomers with H₂ attachment at $-\text{NH}_3^+$ were much more stable than those featuring binding to the isolated N–H at the amide location (by about 150 cm⁻¹ after BSSE correction).⁵⁴ Initial structures were also attempted in which the H₂ was closely associated with the intramolecular H-bonding proton but, upon optimization, these configurations were energetically even higher than found for attachment at the amide site. This scenario has important implications for assignment of the spectra, as both fundamentals associated with the $-\text{NH}_2$ moiety are calculated to display *incremental* red-shifts (by 10–15 cm⁻¹) upon addition of the first two H₂ molecules to the $-\text{NH}_3^+$ functionality.

Figure 4 presents the H₂-dependence of the Peptide I predissociation spectra in the region of the high-energy stretching vibrations. Note that the $n = 2$ case was presented in the broad survey scan displayed in Figure 3, and the key asymmetric doublet near 3360 cm⁻¹ is indeed split into three features in the $n = 1$ spectrum (Figure 4b). In fact, the $n = 1$ pattern almost exactly matches the calculated spectrum of the bare ion. Addition of the second H₂ shifts the lowest energy transition by 9 cm⁻¹, while the relative intensity of the higher energy band at 3359 cm⁻¹ is increased by 54%. This is consistent with the collapse of the close doublet near 3365 cm⁻¹ in the $n = 1$ spectrum upon the selective red-shift of the higher energy shoulder (due to the asymmetric $-\text{NH}_2$ stretch) relative to the fixed amide N–H band. No additional shifts are observed for $n > 2$, as expected for saturation of the two free hydrogen atoms on the $-\text{NH}_3^+$ group. Note that the insensitivity of both the N–H stretching band at 3359 cm⁻¹, as well as the free O–H stretch at 3566 cm⁻¹, to solvation indicates that the binding sites for the third and fourth H₂ molecules are also not associated with these groups.

It is significant that the assignments of the N–H and O–H bands discussed above can be recovered in the context of the single isomer displayed in the inset in Figure 3. Even more compelling evidence for this conclusion can be found upon consideration of the pattern in the fingerprint region. Comparison with the calculated spectrum in Figure 3 indicates that the observed 1785 cm⁻¹ band arises from the carbonyl (C=O) stretch of the carboxylic acid group, while the resonances at 1722 and 1536 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to the characteristic amide I (asymmetric N–C=O stretch) and amide II (symmetric N–C=O stretch with N–H bend) bands, respectively. Much of the weaker structure is recovered at the harmonic level and arises from more complex, collective motions (see Table 1), but the strong band predicted to occur at 2721 cm⁻¹ is clearly not evident in the experimental spectrum. The displacement vectors indicating its underlying motion reveal that this missing band corresponds to the

Table 1. Comparison of the Experimentally Measured IR Transitions with Harmonic Frequencies Calculated Using MP2/6-311++G(d,p) for Peptide I^a

method	frequencies, cm ⁻¹											
	C–C stretch	NH ₃ rock	CO–H bend	NH ₃ umbrella	amide II	amide I	C=O	prot. amine stretch	sym NH ₂ stretch	amide NH stretch	asym. NH ₂ stretch	OH stretch
Exp.	954	1063	1148	1416	1536	1722	1785		3309	3359	3359	3566
MP2	958	1084	1178	1398	1544	1751	1785*	2721	3303	3357	3372	3566*

^a Calculated transitions below 2500 cm⁻¹ were scaled by 0.980 to bring the bands into agreement with the experimentally observed C=O stretch (*), while a scaling factor of 0.943 was applied to calculated transitions above 2500 cm⁻¹ to match the experimentally observed OH stretch (*).




Figure 5. Vibrational predissociation spectrum of Peptide II ($n = 2$) (a) obtained by monitoring the loss of both H₂ molecules across the range. The calculated harmonic spectrum (B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p)) of Peptide II is shown in trace b with the frequencies scaled by 0.986. Labels 1–4 and A–C in the calculated spectrum indicate the positions of the four C=O stretches and the three N–H stretches, respectively, for the optimized structure displayed in trace b. Trace a includes a schematic structure of Peptide II to clarify atomic connectivity with similar labels highlighting the major functionalities.

in-plane N–H stretch associated with the internal H-bond to the neighboring C=O. It has been shown previously⁵⁵ that such “shared proton” motion is often poorly described at the harmonic level due to the very flat or double-well nature of the (one-dimensional) potential energy surface. Our calculations at the MP2/6-311++G(d,p) level found that the isomer where the proton is located on the amide C=O lies only 441 cm⁻¹ above the lowest energy –NH₃⁺ isomer, with a barrier of only about 1000 cm⁻¹ for proton transfer between the two sites. As such, the potential energy surface describing the intramolecular proton bond would be expected to yield a fundamental vibrational transition far below the 2721 cm⁻¹ calculated harmonic value. Calculation of such anharmonic motion is quite complex due to extensive coupling with energetically nearby modes, which typically yields broadening in addition to red-shifting of bands derived from shared proton motion.⁵⁵ In the case of Peptide I, we observe one strong peak at 1350 cm⁻¹ that is significantly broader than the neighboring features and is not anticipated at the harmonic level. We tentatively assign this absorption (labeled *IHB*) to the intramolecular H-bond

arising from the in-plane N–H stretching of the –NH₃⁺ group, but recognize that several transitions are likely to be involved.

3.3.2. Peptide II. Figure 5 presents the predissociation spectrum of Peptide II ($n = 2$), which was obtained by monitoring the photoinduced loss of both H₂ molecules (see Figure S6 for the full 600–4300 cm⁻¹ range; no features are observed in the excluded spectral range, which was removed to better highlight the character of the bands). Although much larger than Peptide I, it is significant that Peptide II exhibits similarly sharp features throughout the entire photon energy range, with linewidths approaching the limit of the laser system (6 cm⁻¹). This behavior allows the observation of many discrete transitions even in the spectrally congested region from 1100 to 1550 cm⁻¹.

To provide a qualitative context in which to discuss the origin of the observed bands, we again appeal to harmonic theoretical analysis, but must recognize that finding the global minimum energy structure for a molecule of this size is a challenging task due to the large number of nearly equivalent conformations. Recognizing the preference for the cyclic H-bond adopted by the




Figure 6. Schematic of Peptide II and the site-specific ^{13}C labeled structure in the opposite enantiomeric form.

smaller protonated peptide, we confined the search (B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory) to include arrangements of Peptide II in which the single H on the dimethyl ammonium group labeled A in Figure 5a was attached in turn, to each of the four C=O groups along the chain. The structure and harmonic spectrum of the most stable isomer is included at the bottom of Figure 5. Interestingly, the intramolecular H-bond in this cyclic structure is formed between the protonated amine and the oxygen atom of the carbonyl labeled 1 at the top of Figure 5, thus, forming a 12-membered ring.

The calculated spectrum is obviously in much poorer agreement with the detailed experimental spectrum than we found for the Peptide I system, yet features associated with the primary functionalities in the peptide can be assigned with confidence. The high energy bands are particularly informative since there are only two very sharp transitions (with the lower band having a minor high energy shoulder) in the N–H stretching region, which would be expected for the two amide stretches (B and C in Figure 5a) from a single conformer (recall that the tertiary amine is involved in intramolecular H-bond). In this context, the band at 3345 cm^{-1} can be assigned to the NH stretch labeled B in the inset structure in Figure 5a, while the 3478 cm^{-1} band is attributed to the carbamate group labeled C. These N–H stretches are consistent with the possibility that the N–H labeled B may be involved in an H–bond with a neighboring carbonyl, possibly carbonyl 2. Similar trends have been observed for simple peptides in nonpolar solvents.^{56,57} The N–H fundamental associated with the intramolecular H-bond is calculated to occur much higher in energy than that identified in Peptide I, and is responsible for the most intense feature in the harmonic spectrum labeled band A in Figure 5b. In light of the discussion above regarding the nature of such shared proton bands, it is likely that the intense, broad band at 2723 cm^{-1} arises from the N–H stretch of the protonated tertiary amine. Note that while it occurs significantly (315 cm^{-1}) below the calculated harmonic location of this transition (3038 cm^{-1}), it lies far above the analogous transition tentatively assigned in Peptide I to the ring-forming N–H stretch at 1350 cm^{-1} . This is consistent, however, with the fact that the larger proton affinity of the tertiary amine relative to that of the primary amine involved in Peptide I should act to localize the excess proton closer to the nitrogen atom and thus reduce the anharmonicity of the proton trapping surface.⁵⁵

In the lower energy range of the carbonyl stretches, it is provocative that the four harmonic peaks between 1600 and 1800 cm^{-1} (Figure 5b) appear as an open pair of doublets that




Figure 7. Comparison between the vibrational predissociation spectra of the Peptide II, with $n = 2$ (top) and site-specific ^{13}C substituted variation with the latter inverted for ease of comparison. Incorporation of the isotope results in the clear identification of the ^{13}C carbonyl.

are remarkably similar to the pattern observed in the experimental spectrum. At the harmonic level, these normal modes involve rather local motions on the four C=O bonds, with the dominant contributions for each transition indicated in the schematic structure shown in Figure 5a. Specifically, the lowest energy doublet at 1617 and 1635 cm^{-1} arises from the two tertiary amides labeled 1 and 2, respectively, while the other doublet is derived from the secondary amide group (1704 cm^{-1}) labeled 3 in Figure 5a and the carbamate group (1720 cm^{-1}), denoted 4.

The inference from the harmonic analysis that sharp, local features in the spectrum are traced to *particular* C=O bonds along the chain would be a strikingly simple and useful behavior for conformational analysis.⁵⁸ Consequently, it is important to engage an experimental test for this scenario to rule out alternative explanations such as anharmonic splitting within the same conformer, or even the presence of multiple isomeric forms with subtle variations in the carbonyl stretches, leading to nearly accidental overlap and thus close multiplets. This is especially true in light of the fact that the region below 1600 cm^{-1} is not well reproduced in the harmonic spectrum of the structure displayed in Figure 5b. We note that a similar situation was encountered by Stearns *et al.* in their study of the gas phase confirmations of Ac-Phe-(Ala)₅-Lys-H⁺, where ^{15}N substitution was used to isolate specific amide N–H stretches along the backbone of the peptide.²² We adopt an analogous approach here to directly explore the site-specificity of the C=O bands by selectively placing a single ^{13}C label onto a particular carbonyl group.

The incorporation of a single ^{13}C at carbonyl 1 (Figure 5a) is particularly straightforward since ^{13}C labeled L-valine is commercially available. However, Peptide II with its current configuration at each amino acid includes a D-valine. As such, we prepared the overall opposite enantiomeric form of Peptide II, with the structures that include D-valine and ^{13}C -L-valine presented in Figure 6. Note that because the present experiment is not sensitive to molecular chirality, no complications are expected as a result of this change. The results of the ^{13}C substitution are

reported in Figure 7, where the top trace reproduces the Peptide II spectrum from Figure 5a and the bottom trace (inverted to enhance comparison) corresponds to the ^{13}C substituted species. The two spectra are strikingly similar, with the *only* peak significantly affected involving one member of the low-energy C=O doublet. This peak red-shifts from 1617 to 1582 cm^{-1} (highlighted in red), representing a lowering of 35 cm^{-1} that is almost exactly the expected 36 cm^{-1} for the change in reduced mass for a local C=O oscillator from $^{12}\text{C} = ^{16}\text{O}$ (6.86 amu) to $^{13}\text{C} = ^{16}\text{O}$ (7.17 amu). This singular spectral response indicates that this carbonyl is an isolated vibration that is not strongly coupled to any other modes. Moreover, this assignment is in agreement with the calculated spectrum (Figure 5), which predicts the carbonyl of interest to be the lowest energy band of the four present in this system. The results of the isotopic study strongly support the assignments of the remaining three bands in the C=O region to the other three carbonyls within the same structural framework. Clearly, ^{13}C substitution at the other three carbonyl sites will be required to definitively assign these bands, but synthetic hurdles may arise due to the limited commercial availability of the ^{13}C substituted starting materials. We note that the calculations also anticipate smaller shifts in several other transitions involving the ^{13}C site (e.g., $^{13}\text{C}-\text{N}$) but these appear in a more cluttered region of the spectrum, and will demand more extensive averaging to quantify. Identification of the spectral signature of a specific C=O bond in the peptide also provides a possible experimental means with which to elucidate the intramolecular H-bonding motif. For example, in the structure presented in Figure 5b, the linkage occurs from the tertiary amine to the labeled site, and one would expect that breaking this bond with a competitive base would have the greatest effect on the $^{13}\text{C}=\text{O}$ band position. These experiments are presently underway.

The sharp bands recovered for Peptide II in the well-separated C=O and N-H regions of the spectrum can thus be assigned to particular local excitations of a single isomeric form. This is somewhat surprising for such a large molecule, and it will be interesting to explore whether this behavior is common across a wide range of systems. The conservation of the spectral response across the entire fingerprint region with the exception of the labeled $^{13}\text{C}=\text{O}$ band is also interesting, raising the prospect that such highly resolved vibrational spectra can be used to identify more extended structural motifs embedded in even larger systems.

4. CONCLUSION

We present a new, versatile method for spectroscopic characterization of gas phase peptides based on photodissociating their H_2 adducts generated in a cryogenic ion trap. This methodology yields linear action spectra closely related to familiar absorption spectra available from FTIR analysis, but with sharp features due the low temperature that greatly facilitate the comparison with *ab initio* calculations and thus the elucidation of molecular structure. We highlight this technique by applying it first to a simple dipeptide and then to a more complex tripeptide, both of which appear to adopt a single isomeric form containing a hydrogen bonded ring structure formed between the protonated amine and a carbonyl oxygen. Substitution with ^{13}C in one of the carbonyls in Peptide II significantly displaces only one band, thus revealing the local nature of the C=O oscillators. Because H_2 tagging seems to be a general technique, we anticipate that it will be useful for a broad class of systems.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information. The ^{13}C labeled Peptide II synthesis procedure, raw photophysics data at several photon energies, raw laser power-dependent data, vibrational spectra for Peptides I and II (600–4300 cm^{-1}), ^1H NMR of Peptide II, ^{13}C NMR of the ^{13}C labeled Peptide II, and the complete citation for ref 33. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

mark.johnson@yale.edu

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENT

M.A.J. thanks the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under grant FA-9550-09-1-0139 as well as the U.S. National Science Foundation under grant CHE-091199. S.J.M. thanks the National Institute of Health under grant R01-GM068649. M.J.V.S. thanks the National Science Foundation under grant CHE-0239800. This work was supported in part by the Yale University Faculty of Arts and Sciences High Performance Computing facility (and staff). We also acknowledge the pivotal role played by Timothy Guasco in managing the laser facilities critical to the success of this study as well as Jeffery L. Gustafson and Daniel Lim for providing synthetic samples.

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Oomens, J.; Steill, J. D. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **2010**, *21*, 698–706.
- (2) Bythell, B. J.; Dain, R. P.; Curtice, S. S.; Oomens, J.; Steill, J. D.; Groenewold, G. S.; Paizs, B.; Stipdonk, M. J. V. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2010**, *114*, 5076–5082.
- (3) Kupser, P.; Pagel, K.; Oomens, J.; Polfer, N.; Koks, B.; Meijer, G.; von Helden, G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 2085–2093.
- (4) Chen, X.; Yu, L.; Steill, J. D.; Oomens, J.; Polfer, N. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 18272–18282.
- (5) Polfer, N. C.; Oomens, J. *Mass Spec. Rev.* **2009**, *28*, 468–494.
- (6) Oomens, J.; Tielens, A. G. G. M.; Sartakov, B. G.; von Helden, G.; Meijer, G. *Astrophys. J.* **2003**, *591*, 968–985.
- (7) Polfer, N. C.; Oomens, J.; Suhai, S.; Paizs, B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 5887–5897.
- (8) Price, W. D.; Schnier, P. D.; Williams, E. R. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **1997**, *101*, 664–673.
- (9) Rajabi, K.; Fridgen, T. D. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2008**, *112*, 23–30.
- (10) Van Stipdonk, M. J.; Kerstetter, D. R.; Leavitt, C. M.; Groenewold, G. S.; Steill, J.; Oomens, J. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *10*, 3209–3221.
- (11) Molesworth, S.; Leavitt, C. M.; Groenewold, G. S.; Oomens, J.; Steill, J. D.; van Stipdonk, M. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **2009**, *20*, 1841–1845.
- (12) Polfer, N. C.; Oomens, J.; Moore, D. T.; von Helden, G.; Meijer, G.; Dunbar, R. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 517–525.
- (13) Armentrout, P. B.; Rodgers, M. T.; Oomens, J.; Steill, J. D. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2008**, *112*, 2248–2257.
- (14) Rodgers, M. T.; Armentrout, P. B.; Oomens, J.; Steill, J. D. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2008**, *112*, 2258–2267.
- (15) Leavitt, C. M.; Oomens, J.; Dain, R. P.; Steill, J.; Groenewold, G. S.; Stipdonk, M. J. V. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **2009**, *20*, 772–782.
- (16) The operational range of free electron lasers is much broader than 500–2000 cm^{-1} , but accessing other spectral regions requires adjustment of optical configurations, and facilities often service the needs of most users, which lie in the fingerprint region.

- (17) Li, X. H.; Oomens, J.; Eyler, J. R.; Moore, D. T.; Iyengar, S. S. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *132* (24), No. 244301.
- (18) Nagornova, N. S.; Rizzo, T. R.; Boyarkin, O. V. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 4040–4041.
- (19) Rizzo, T. R.; Stearns, J. A.; Boyarkin, O. V. *Int. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **2009**, *28*, 481–515.
- (20) Guidi, M.; Lorenz, U. J.; Papadopoulos, G.; Boyarkin, O. V.; Rizzo, T. R. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2009**, *113*, 797–799.
- (21) Stearns, J. A.; Mercier, S.; Seabey, C.; Guidi, M.; Boyarkin, O. V.; Rizzo, T. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 11814–11820.
- (22) Stearns, J. A.; Seabey, C.; Boyarkin, O. V.; Rizzo, T. R. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2009**, *11*, 125–132.
- (23) Stearns, J. A.; Boyarkin, O. V.; Rizzo, T. R. *Chimia* **2008**, *62*, 240–243.
- (24) Goebbert, D. J.; Wende, T.; Bergmann, R.; Meijer, G.; Asmis, K. R. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2009**, *113*, 5874–5880.
- (25) Brummer, M.; Kaposta, C.; Santambrogio, G.; Asmis, K. R. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2003**, *119*, 12700–12703.
- (26) Jiang, L.; Wende, T.; Bergmann, R.; Meijer, G.; Asmis, K. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 7398–7404.
- (27) Wang, X. B.; Wang, L. S. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **2008**, *79*, No. 073108.
- (28) Wang, X. B.; Xing, X. P.; Wang, L. S. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2008**, *112*, 13271–13274.
- (29) Gustafson, J. L.; Lim, D.; Miller, S. J. *Science* **2010**, *328*, 1251–1255.
- (30) Kamrath, M. Z.; Relph, R. A.; Guasco, T. L.; Leavitt, C. M.; Johnson, M. A. *Int. J. Mass Spec.* **2011**, *300*, 91–98.
- (31) O'Connor, P. B.; Costello, C. E.; Earle, W. E. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **2002**, *13*, 1370–1375.
- (32) Mathur, R.; O'Connor, P. B. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **2006**, *77*, 114101.
- (33) Frisch, M. J. et al.; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2009.
- (34) Stryer, L. *Biochemistry*, Third ed.; W. H. Freeman and Company: New York, 1988.
- (35) Wu, R. H.; McMahon, T. B. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2009**, *113*, 8767–8775.
- (36) Wu, R. H.; McMahon, T. B. *ChemPhysChem* **2008**, *9*, 2826–2835.
- (37) Alexander, M. L.; Johnson, M. A.; Lineberger, W. C. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1985**, *82*, 5288–5289.
- (38) Alexander, M. L.; Johnson, M. A.; Levinger, N. E.; Lineberger, W. C. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1986**, *57*, 976.
- (39) Campagnola, P. J.; Posey, L. A.; Johnson, M. A. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1991**, *95*, 7998–8004.
- (40) Lide, D. R., Ed.; *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, 76th ed.; CRC Press: New York, 1995.
- (41) Robertson, W. H.; Kelley, J. A.; Johnson, M. A. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **2000**, *71*, 4431–4434.
- (42) McCunn, L. R.; Headrick, J.; Johnson, M. A. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *10*, 3118–3123.
- (43) Zhao, Y. X.; Yourshaw, I.; Reiser, G.; Arnold, C. C.; Neumark, D. M. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1994**, *101*, 6538–6551.
- (44) Klots, C. E. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1985**, *83*, 5854–5860.
- (45) Klots, C. E. *Z. Phys. D: At., Mol. Clusters* **1987**, *5*, 83–89.
- (46) Klots, C. E. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1988**, *92*, 5864–5868.
- (47) Engellking, P. C. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1986**, *85*, 3103.
- (48) Huber, K. P.; Herzberg, G. *Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure: IV. Constants of Diatomic Molecules*; Van Nostrand Reinhold Company: New York, 1979.
- (49) Levine, I. *Quantum Chemistry*, Sixth ed.; Pearson Prentice Hall: Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2009.
- (50) Johnson, E. R.; DiLabio, G. A. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2006**, *419*, 333–339.
- (51) Okumura, M.; Yeh, L. I.; Lee, Y. T. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1988**, *88*, 79–91.
- (52) Okumura, M.; Yeh, L. I.; Myers, J. D.; Lee, Y. T. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1990**, *94*, 3416–3427.
- (53) Hirao, K.; Yamabe, S. *Chem. Phys.* **1983**, *80*, 237–243.
- (54) Boys, S. F.; Bernardi, F. *Mol. Phys.* **1970**, *19*, 553–566.
- (55) Roscioli, J. R.; McCunn, L. R.; Johnson, M. A. *Science* **2007**, *316*, 249–254.
- (56) Gardner, R. R.; Liang, G.-B.; Gellman, S. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 3280–3281.
- (57) Rao, C. P.; Nagaraj, R.; Rao, C. N. R.; Balaram, P. *Biochemistry* **1980**, *19*, 425–431.
- (58) Lin, Y. S.; Shorb, J. M.; Mukherjee, P.; Zanni, M. T.; Skinner, J. L. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2009**, *113*, 592–602.

NOTE ADDED AFTER ASAP PUBLICATION

References 56 and 57 have been added along with citations in the main text in the version reposted April 1, 2011.